

AUG 17 2007

Atty. Docket No. 044148-004100

Serial No. 10/648,029

Page 8

REMARKS


Applicants hereby elect Group I that is shown in Figures 1-7, without traverse, for examination on the merits.

A listing of claims that reads on the figures includes the independent claims 35, 45, and 57. While the dependent claims 36-44, 46-56, and 58-67 include features of other embodiments, Applicants note that the claims have already been searched by the Examiner and depend from independent claims 35, 45, and 57, which belong to Group I. Applicants also note that Figures 1-7 are generic to Figures 8-34. Therefore, Applicants elects claims 35-67. If the Examiner does not agree that claims 35-67 read upon Figures 1-7, as well as Figures 8-34, Applicant requests the Examiner clarify the restriction requirement.

In response to the telephone interview of July 12, 2007, Applicants have amended claims 35 and 45 to clarify certain claim language. Applicants have additionally amended claims 46, 50, 59, 62, and 65 to correspond to amended claims 35 and 45 and to correct typographical errors.

Based on the forgoing, this application is believed in condition for allowance. Prompt notification of the same is earnestly sought.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeff E. Schwartz
Registration No. 39,019

NIXON PEABODY LLP
Suite 900, 401 9th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2128
(202) 585-8000